

# NAVFAC as an Enabling Function



- **Be an enabler – understand the primary missions you are supporting, current capability gaps (=readiness shortfalls) and align program goals and objectives to national and Navy defense priorities**
  - Utilize tools such as Shore Readiness Assessments and regular engagement with the operational community to identify and prioritize NR actions
  - Keep INRMPs current to alleviate existing and avoid future conservation constraints (e.g. to obtain and maintain CH exemptions)
  - Utilize the annual metrics process to identify trending issues early and adapt management approach as necessary
- **Be Smart from the Start – NRMs should be aware of and engaged in NEPA streamlining efforts and process/product improvement initiatives**
  - Identify regulatory compliance requirements and risks early to inform NEPA schedules
  - Develop coordinated consultation strategies and engage regulatory partners early/often
  - Where possible, prioritize data gathering efforts that will support upcoming consultations and env planning actions, including major recapitalization efforts

# NAVFAC as an Enabling Function



- **Alleviate and avoid acquisition bottlenecks – early/continuous engagement with ACQ team for acquisition planning**
  - Utilize efficient and cost effective acquisition tools for INRMP implementation (e.g. in-house, SCA, CESU, well-structured IDIQs, etc.)
  - Anticipate the need for new tools/vehicles and staff capacity (or reachback) so NAVFAC can execute with speed/urgency
- **Be innovative- continue to pursue proactive, collaborative approaches to get ahead of costly and time-consuming mitigation requirements and avoid long-term maintenance tails**
  - Program initiatives on-going through collaborative partnerships under REPI, RASP, CWPRI, SERPAS, etc. have high return on investment
  - Continue to explore advanced mitigation solutions through Conservation Banking, Impact Directed Environmental Accounts, programmatic consultations and crediting strategies
  - Identify and elevate the need for additional policy/guidance (e.g. focus group to address inconsistency in EFH application regarding coral impacts) or interagency collaboration (e.g. coordination/consultation agreements)